





# GLAST: Science in Flight

Richard E. Hughes, The Ohio State University, The GLAST-LAT Collaboration.

University of Pennsylvania HEP Seminar

**Richard E. Hughes, Ohio State University** 



### What is GLAST?



Gamma-ray Large Area Space Telescope



E > 1 GeV Map

GLAST will map the universe in gamma rays

Simulated LAT One Year All-sky map (E > 100 MeV)





<u>Two GLAST instruments</u>: LAT: 20 MeV – >300 GeV GBM: 10 keV – 25 MeV Launch: 2007





#### **United States**

- California State University at Sonoma
- University of California at Santa Cruz Santa Cruz Institute of Particle Physics
- Goddard Space Flight Center Laboratory for High Energy Astrophysics
- Naval Research Laboratory
- The Ohio State University
- Stanford University (SLAC and HEPL/Physics)
- University of Washington
- Washington University, St. Louis

#### **France**

IN2P3, CEA/Saclay

#### **Italy**

• INFN, ASI

#### Japanese GLAST Collaboration

- Hiroshima University
- ISAS, RIKEN

#### Swedish GLAST Collaboration

- Royal Institute of Technology (KTH)
- Stockholm University

Richard E. Hughes, Ohio State University

PI: Peter Michelson (Stanford & SLAC)

~225 Members (including ~80 Affiliated Scientists, plus 23 Postdocs, and 32 Graduate Students)

Cooperation between NASA and DOE, with key international contributions from France, Italy, Japan and Sweden.

Managed at Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC).



### **GBM Collaboration**





#### National Space Science & Technology Center



University of Alabama in Huntsville



NASA Marshall Space Flight Center

Michael Briggs William Paciesas Robert Preece

Charles Meegan (PI) Gerald Fishman Chryssa Kouveliotou



Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik

Giselher Lichti (Co-PI) Andreas von Keinlin Volker Schönfelder Roland Diehl

On-board processing, flight software, systems engineering, analysis software, and management Detectors, power supplies, calibration, and analysis software





- Comparing EGRET to GLAST:
  - Illustrating the anticipated improvement in our knowledge of the sky



Simulated LAT (>1 GeV, 1 yr)



### Why study γ's?



#### Gamma rays:

- Source:
  - γ rays do not interact much at their source: they offer a direct view into Nature's largest accelerators.
  - the Universe is mainly transparent to γ rays: can probe cosmological volumes. Any opacity is energydependent.
  - γ rays are neutral: no complications due to magnetic fields. Point directly back to sources, etc.

Detection:

- $\succ \gamma$  rays readily interact
- Very clear signature.
- Good probe for new physics!
  - Decays of possible dark matter particles
  - Tests of fundamental physics (lorentz invariance, etc)

#### only e<sup>-τ</sup> of the original source flux reaches us









# The next-generation ground-based and space-based experiments are well matched.

**Richard E. Hughes, Ohio State University** 





#### **Overall LAT Design:**

•4x4 array of identical towers
•3000 kg, 650 W (allocation)
•1.8 m × 1.8 m × 1.0 m
•20 MeV - >300 GeV

#### **Precision Si-strip Tracker:**

- Detectors and converters arranged in 18 x-y tracking planes
- Measures incident gamma direction

#### Hodoscopic Csl Calorimeter:

- Segmented array of CsI crystals
- Measures the incident gamma energy
- Rejects cosmic ray backgrounds

#### Anticoincidence Detector:

- Highly efficient segmented scintillator tiles
- First step in reduction of large charged cosmic ray background
- Segmentation reduces self veto at high energy

#### Electronics System:

Thermal Blanket:

And micro-meteorite shield

 Includes flexible, highly-efficient, multi-level trigger



### **GLAST LAT Overview: Main Components**



Si-strip TKR measure the γ direction

#### Hodoscopic ECAL

measure the  $\gamma$  energy, image the shower  $\gg$ 



#### Segmented ACD

reject C.R. background, removes self-veto effects at high energy



- 18XY tracking planes (~10k single-sided SSD)
- 5.5.10<sup>4</sup> channels (per tower) 8.8.10<sup>5</sup> total
- 228  $\mu$ m pitch, digital readout
- self-triggering
- hit efficiency > 99% with noise occupancy <~10<sup>-5</sup>
- 1.5 X<sub>0</sub> total
- power (total) < 170 W</li>
- 1536 Csl crystals (8 layers) 2x2.7x33 cm<sup>3</sup>
- 6.1 10<sup>3</sup> channels (per tower)
- 2 PIN diodes per end; 2 gain ranges each
- ~ 1500 kg
- self-triggering
- 8.5  $X_0$  total
- power (total) < 91 W</li>
- 89 tiles 1 cm thick
- 2 phototubes per tile
- Waveshifting fiber embedded
- White Tetratec wrapping
- Charged particle efficiency > 0.9997
- Power < 31 W total





### LAT Status: The Real Device!



Pictures of LAT nearing completion of assembly (before installation of the ACD)











### **Front-end Simulator**



- System uses 9 PC's
  - 8 PC's for 16 TEM's
  - □ 1 PC for ACD
- Data transported to towers via high-speed data link; PCI bridge to local bus on simulator
- Data Simulators interface to TEM like CAL and TKR subsystem electronics
  - □ CAL and TKR simulator board identical except code in FPGA's
- Can operate TEM or LAT with data generated from simulations

#### Front-end Simulator (FES) Board





### **Testbed at SLAC**



4 x 4 Array of Towers.

Multiple FES Boards for driving CAL, TKR, ACD, clk

Cables connecting FES Boards to PCs. (Mounted in black racks)

Cables connecting FES Boards to TEMs on opposite side of structure



![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **TestBed at SLAC**

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Operation of trigger and filter**

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Level 1 Hardware Triggers

(Did anything happen?)

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

- □ Tkr 3-in-a-row
  - □ 3 *x,y* planes hit in a row
  - "workhorse" ©- ray trigger

CAL-LO

any log with >100MeV

CAL-HI

> 0 crystals with > 1GeV

indicates a high energy event

#### CNO

ACD hit with high discriminator signal

Throttle

a tower with a Tkr 3-in-a-row is shadowed by an ACD hit Combinations of these trigger primitives are used to define a hardware

Richard E. Hughes, Ohio State Ohio

Example of photon LAT, producing e+/epair, triggering a Tkr 3-in-a-row and depositing energy in certain CAL crystals

![](_page_15_Figure_18.jpeg)

Upon L1trigger: all towers read out within  $20 \mu s$ 

L1 trigger rate ~ <3kHz>

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **CNO Filter: Energy Calibration**

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Use MIPs to calibrate CAL
  - MIPs leave a characteristic amount of energy in each layer
  - MIPs do not shower
- We know the real energy of each peak which allows us to convert signal into the real energy

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Tracker alignment filter For intra-tower alignment

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Compton Observatory: 1991-2000**

- BATSE, OSSE, and Comptel < MeV
- EGRET 30 MeV 30 GeV
  - □1<sup>st</sup> proposed in late 1970s

#### **Spark Chamber with Nal calorimeter**

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

### • EGRET:

- □ First complete survey of the high energy gamma-ray sky
- 271 point sources
- Detected 7 gamma-ray pulsars
- Detected high energy gamma-rays from solar flares
- Discovered large number of AGNs
- Discovered multi-GeV emission from gamma-ray bursts (GRBs)

GLAST will explore the unexplored energy range above EGRET's reach, filling in the present gap in the photon spectrum, and will cover the very broad energy range ~ 20 MeV - 300 GeV ( $\rightarrow$  1 TeV) with superior acceptance and resolution. Historically, opening new energy regimes has led to the <u>discovery</u> <u>of totally unexpected new phenomena</u>.

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Estimated LAT PSF, Energy, A<sub>eff</sub> Performance From Detailed Monte Carlo Studies

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Richard E. Hughes, Ohio State University** 

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Estimated LAT Performance**

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

Expected Sensitivity With Current Performance Parameters

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

### What GLAST expects to see

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

Source class	Seen by EGRET	Predicted with GLAST
Unidentified sources	170	?
Pulsars	3-6	100-500
Blazars	50-80	>2000
Normal galaxies	2	4-5
Gamma ray bursts	5	>500
Supernova Remnants/plerions	1-5	>10
Radio galaxies	1-1	?
X ray binaries	1-1	?
Starburst galaxies	0	?
Cluster of galaxies	0	?

Rates after 5 years

~7 days of GLAST = 1 year of EGRET

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Unidentified Sources**

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

172 of the 271 sources in the EGRET 3rd catalog are "unidentified"

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

Rosat or Einstein X-ray Source
 1.4 GHz VLA Radio Source

EGRET source position error circles are  $\sim 0.5^{\circ}$ , resulting in counterpart confusion.

GLAST will provide much more accurate positions, with ~30 arcsec - ~5 arcmin localizations, depending on brightness.

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

Cygnus region (15x15 deg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Muons taken during Integration and Testing with the full LAT

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

CR Muon induced Gamma-Ray recorded during 2 Tower testing of the LAT

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Richard E. Hughes, Ohio State University

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Gamma Ray Bursts**

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Extemely bright objects, with very large power output, lasting anywhere from seconds to hours
  - discovered in 1967 by the Vela military satellites, searching for gamma-ray transients
- Right: Hubble images of GRB 050709
  - Detected by HETE
  - Chandra and Swift observed X-ray afterglow
  - Hubble observed optical afterglow (5,10, 19, 35 days after burst)
- Short vs long bursts:
  - Short: Merging binaries?
  - Long: massive star collapsing to black hole?

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_13.jpeg)

QuickTime<sup>™</sup> and a H.263 decompressor are needed to see this picture.

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **GLAST GRB Sensitivity**

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

EGRET has detected very high energy emission associated with bursts, including an 18 GeV photon ~75 minutes after the start of a burst:

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **GLAST Simulations: (GRB Models)**

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **GLAST Simulations: (Detectors)**

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Two Methods for detector simulation:
  - □ Full Simulation using GEANT4.
  - Parameterized Response Function.
- Simulate response of both GBM and LAT.
- **Energy Spectrum** 
  - Combined detectors cover
    - ~7 decades in energy.

![](_page_33_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_15.jpeg)

106

108

107

100

10

1000

104

Energy (keV)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Use simulations to predict the yield of GRBs in the LAT.
  - Consider different min. energies
  - Number of photons detected.
- GBM Estimated ~200/year

![](_page_35_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_8.jpeg)

Red: short duration

![](_page_35_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Modeled GRB observed by Swift 04-Sep-05.
  - □ Redshift: 6.3, Fluence: 5.4 x 10<sup>-6</sup> ergs/sec, Dur: ~500 s
  - □ Modeled two GRBs, one at z=0 and one at z=6.3
- Simulated GBM and LAT with response functions
- Modeled EBL absorption for z=6.3 GRB.

![](_page_37_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

Distribution for the 20 brightest bursts in a year (Norris et al)

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

EGRET deadtime: ~100ms

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

 Measuring GRB at different redshift can be used as a probe for Lorentz Invarian Effects arise in some Quantum Gravity Models.

Look for delayed arrival of photons as a function of energy.

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

Credit: F. Longo; GLAST GRB Science Team

**Using GRBs as a Probe for New Physics** 

 LAT provides a means to measure the high energy photons and arrival.

System clock: 50 ns

$$\Delta t \approx 10 \text{ ms} \times \left[\frac{E_{\gamma}}{1 \text{ GeV}}\right] \times \left[\frac{d_{CM}}{1 \text{ Gpc}}\right] \text{ using } E_{QG} = R$$

Other observations required to localize and measure redshifts.

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

20 bright GRBs @ 1 Gpc w/ QG.

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

- $\Delta E$  : the lever arm
  - □ for the instrument (*Instrumental limit*)
  - □ for the observed energies (*Observing a source*)

#### δt : the time resolution

- □ the time resolution of the instrument (*Instrumental limit*)
- □ the binning time to have enough statistics (Observing a source)

#### • L: the typical distance of the sources

• If the instrument doesn't see any delay:

• If I can see a delay ∂t :

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{qg}} = (\mathsf{L} \cdot \Delta \mathsf{E}) / (\mathsf{c} \cdot \delta \mathsf{t})$$

$$(\frac{L\Delta E}{c\delta t})_{GLAST} = \frac{(10^{28}cm)(10^2GeV)}{(10^{10}cm/s)(10^{-4}s)} = 10^{24}GeV$$

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Remaining Major Milestones**

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

LAT final assembly complete!

Delivery of LAT to NRL for environmental testing (shake/temp tests): May 2006

GLAST integration with spacecraft and testing: Fall 2006 through Summer 2007

Launch: September 2007, Kennedy Space Center

Begin science: 1-2 months after launch

Lifetime of mission: at least 5 years (goal: 10 yrs)

![](_page_43_Picture_9.jpeg)

Launch of Spitzer Space Telescope on a Delta II - Heavy

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Backup Slides**

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **GRB Science: Catalog**

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

- There is a long list of science goals with GRBs.
- One important task is developing catalogs of the bursts.
  - Exact components of the catalogs is still under consideration.
- GBM Burst Catalog
- LAT Burst Catalog
   Cross Referenced to GBM Catalog.

![](_page_45_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Dark matter searchq Z≈Ó Ó

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Particle Dark Matter**

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

Pure Hiaasina

120

Ion-Thermal Production

Some important models in particle physics could also solve the dark matter problem in astrophysics. If correct, these new particle interactions could produce an anomalous flux of gamma rays.

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

Just an example of what might be waiting for us to find!

![](_page_47_Figure_6.jpeg)

104

103

 $\chi\chi \rightarrow Z_{\gamma}$ 

 $\chi\chi \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ 

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### STRING INSTRUMENTS

String theory may soon be testable

he theory of strings, which attributes the infinite variety of the cosmos to the harmonies of subatomic membranes, has emerged over the past two decades as the leading contender for the "theory of everything." It would explain the four forces of nature-gravity, electromagnetism, and the weak and strong nuclear forces-as a single force with different manifestations. But how could such a theory ever be proved? The last time the four forces acted as one was at the big bang; to re-create those conditions, physicists would need a particle accelerator larger than the solar system, which Congress might be reluctant to fund. Despairing of the task, some scientists call theories of everything an exercise in theology. "For the first time since the Dark Ages," physicists Paul Ginsparg and Sheldon L. Glashow wrote 12 years ago, "we can see how our noble search may end, with faith replacing science once again."

That proclamation now seems premature. Researchers have devised the first astronomical probe of theories of everything and have also discovered that the four forces may unite under conditions short of the big bang. "Unification, the theory of everything, might actually be accessible experimentally," says Nima Arkani-Hamed of the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center.

The probe was conceived by Giovanni Amelino-Camelia of the University

News and Analysis

of Oxford and the Institute of Physics in Neuchätel, Switzerland, and his colleagues. They propose using gamma-ray bursts to check whether the speed of light in a vacuum depends on its wavelength. According to special relativity, light has the same speed in a vacuum regardless of wavelength. Therefore, the detection of a wavelength-dependent speed would unearth a level of physical law more fundamental than relativity. Variations in the speed of light are familiar to anyone who has looked at a prism. Because glass, water and other

substances allow red light to go fater than blue, the prism splays white light into a rainbow. Empty space, too, is a substance of sorts. By the laws of quantum mechan-

ics, particles burble in d out of existence as the void fluct ates around complete emptiness. Pre ent quantum theory, which incorpg rates special relativity but not gravit says that these fluctuations affect all wavelengths of light equally. B theories of everything also allow fo fluctuations in gravity, which act as subatomic lenses that bend The shorter the wavelength of light, more it might induce such lensing

Although the retardation is predicted to be small, it might show up in gamma ray bursts. Whatever their mysteriou origins, these intense flashes travel bil lions of light-years and flicker frenet cally. The blinking gives astronomers handle on any dispersion: at shorte wavelengths, a flicker would register moment after it appeared at longe wavelengths. Across a typical range of gamma rays, the time difference would be around 10 microseconds-not much considering that the radiation has trav eled for 10 billion years. But it may b just enough for current instruments t detect. And the Gamma-ray Large Area Space Telescope, scheduled to begin or eration in 2004, will certainly be

requisite resolution.

predictions of string theory could be detectable sooner: namely, if the forces of nature unite under unexpectedly mild conditions. Two years ago Edward Wirten of the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, N.J., and Joseph D. Lykken of Ferrin National Accelerator Laboratory in Batavia, II., realized that strings could come into play at lesser energies than previously assumed. In other words, maybe strings aren't so tiny. The standard argument that strings should appear at high en gies is base on theoretical extrapolations from the measured strength the four force Electromagnetism nd the two nuclea forces should be ome equally strong a the so-called Grand Unification scale At a slight higher energy, the Planck scale, gr ity is supposed to join in Both les are trillions or quadrillion ies beyond the reach of today lerators.

But these extrapolations don't take into account a key prediction of string theory: the presence of extra dimensions, on top of the four familiar onesthree for space, one for time. New dimensions could lower both the Grand Unification scale (as shown recently by Keith R. Dienes, Emilian Dudas and Tony Gherghetta of CERN near Geneva) and the Planck scale (according to Arkani-Hamed, Savas Dimopoulos of Stanford University and Gia Dvali of the Abdus Salam International Centerical Physics in Trieste).

Specifically, string theory adds six minuscule dimensions, which Dienes compares to hairline cracks in the pavement. Each crack adds an extra (third) dimension to the two-dimensional road, but if it is small, your car rolls right over it.

If the crack is large enough and if your tire is small enough, however, your car rattles. Similarly, if the extra dimensions of space are large enough and a particle is small enough, the particle could begin to vibrate in those dimensions. New harmonics would develop, generating new particles-and altering the way the electromagnetic and the two nuclear forces are transmitted. Gravity might shift in a telltale way, too: for simple geometric reasons, extra dimensions would cause gravity to weaken more rapidly with distance. Experimenters are start ing to look for such an effect. Lower unification scales y

the Large He ron Collider, now being built at CERN, to make strings. To be sure, that prospect is still speculative. 'All these proposals are in the spirit of unlikely to be right, but so extremely interesting if they are that they are well worth thinking about," says Sean M. Carroll of the University of California at Santa Barbara. But along with other hints of new physics-the neutrino mass, the cosmological constant, the odd be havior of meson particles [see "The Asymmetry between Matter and Antimatter," on page 76]-they suggest that we won't need to take a theory of everything on faith after all. -George Musser

News and Analysis

Although the retardation is predicted to be small, it might show up in gammaray bursts. Whatever their mysterious origins, these intense flashes travel billions of light-years and flicker frenetically. The blinking gives astronomers a handle on any dispersion: at shorter wavelengths, a flicker would register a moment after it appeared at longer wavelengths. Across a typical range of gamma rays, the time difference would be around 10 microseconds-not much, considering that the radiation has traveled for 10 billion years. But it may be just enough for current instruments to detect. And the Gamma-ray Large Area Space Telescope, scheduled to begin operation in 2004, will certainly have the requisite resolution.

![](_page_48_Picture_22.jpeg)

Effects could be O(100) ms or larger, using GLAST data alone. But ?? effects intrinsic to bursts?? Representative of window opened by such distance and energy scales

24 Scientific American October 1998

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

For  $E_{\gamma} < \sim 100$  GeV, must detect above atmosphere (balloons, satellites) Photon interaction mechanisms:

![](_page_49_Figure_5.jpeg)

For  $E_{\gamma} > \sim 100$  GeV, information <sup>1</sup> from showers penetrates to the ground (Cerenkov, air showers)

Fig. 2: Photon cross-section  $\sigma$  in lead as a function of photon energy. The intensity of photons can be expressed as  $I = I_0 \exp(-\sigma x)$ , where x is the path length in radiation lengths. (Review of Particle Properties, April 1980 edition).

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **IACTs versus the LAT**

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### • IACTs:

- □ Effective Area (100 m)<sup>2</sup>
- Threshold 50-1000 GeV
- □ Field of View -- ~few degrees
- E<sub>Scale</sub> Systematics model dependent, ~20%
- □ Resolution < 0.1°
- LAT:
  - □ Effective Area 1 m<sup>2</sup>
  - Threshold -- ~10s MeV
  - Field of View 2 str
  - $\Box$  E<sub>scale</sub> uncertainty ~10%
  - □ Resolution ~0.1° (>10GeV)

![](_page_50_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

Efficiency of the Onboard Filter when the LAT has triggered

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Filter\*Trigger Eff

![](_page_52_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **GLAST Science**

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

### GLAST will have a very broad menu that includes:

- Systems with supermassive black holes
- Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs)
- Pulsars
- Solar physics
- Origin of Cosmic Rays
- Probing the era of galaxy formation
- Discovery! Particle Dark Matter? Hawking radiation from primordial black holes? Other relics from the Big Bang? Testing Lorentz invariance. New source classes.

Huge increment in capabilities.

GLAST draws the interest of both the the High Energy Particle Physics and High Energy Astrophysics communities.